## 

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

		CIVIL MIN	UTES – GENEI	RAL	•	<b>'O'</b>	
Case No.	CV15-2190-C		Date	January 12, 2017			
Title	ALFRED ZAKLIT ET AL. v. NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE LLC					LLC	
Present: Th	e Honorable	CHRISTINA A. SNYDER					
Catherine Jeang			Not Present			N/A	
Dep	outy Clerk	Co	ourt Reporter / R	rt Reporter / Recorder		Tape No.	
Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:			Attorneys Present for Defendants:				
Not Present			Not Present				
Proceeding	`	,	AMBERS) - PLAINTIFFS ALFRED ZAKLIT AND JESSY				
	ZAKL	IT'S EX PAR	TE APPLICAT	ION TO	) FILE DO	OCUMENTS	
	UNDE	R SEAL (Dk	t. 37, filed Dece	mber 1	2, 2016)		
The C	lourt is in rossir	at of plaintiffs	ov porto applia	ntion to	filo undo	r sool Eyhihits	
The Court is in receipt of plaintiffs' ex parte application to file under seal Exhibits							
A–K to the declaration of Adrian Bacon. <u>See</u> dkt. 37. Plaintiffs also seek to redact							
portions of Exhibits L–P and their memorandum in support of their motion for class							
certification. <u>Id.</u> Plaintiffs contend that they seek to file these materials under seal to							
honor defendant's designation that these materials contain information that could be							

"[T]he courts of this country recognize a general right to inspect and copy public records and documents, including judicial records and documents." Nixon v. Warner Communications, Inc., 435 U.S. 589, 597 & n.7 (1978); see also Foltz v. State Farm Mutual Auto Insurance Comp., 331 F.3d 1124, 1134 (9th Cir. 2003) ("In this circuit, we start with a strong presumption in favor of access to court records."). The parties are directed to meet and confer and to file a stipulation as to what material is properly filed under seal, identifying any areas of disagreement, within **thirty (30) days** of the date of this order.

characterized as trade secrets, account information of consumers, recordings of

confidential communications, and other sensitive material. <u>Id.</u> at 1. Defendant opposes plaintiff's ex parte application, arguing that: (a) plaintiff's request to file Exhibits A–K entirely under seal is over-inclusive; and (b) plaintiffs' request for redactions of Exhibits

IT IS SO ORDERED.

L–P is under-inclusive.

	00	_ :	00
Initials of Preparer	CMJ		